

# STATE BOARD FOR COMMUNITY COLLEGES AND OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION

February 9, 2022

**TOPIC:** Tuition-Setting Considerations

**PRESENTED BY:** Mark Superka, Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

**RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN:** Redefine our value proposition through accessibility, affordability, quality, accountability, resource development, and operational excellence

**EXPLANATION:**

During the month of April, the Board sets tuition levels for the subsequent fiscal year—in this case, for FY 2022-23. In an effort to provide Board members with useful information in preparation for the April meeting, the following agenda item provides the latest update at the General Assembly and the Colorado Commission on Higher Education on tuition-setting authority and outlines some of the most-often asked questions regarding tuition-setting decision making. Please note that due to timing and the fluid nature of legislative activity, some of the information provided in this agenda item will need to be updated during April (and possibly in subsequent months), but this hopefully will provide reasonable context going into April's Board meeting. Please note this agenda item is typically presented at the March Board meeting but has been moved up to February because there is not a Board meeting in March this year.

Background

As you are aware, over the past several fiscal years the Department of Higher Education and the Joint Budget Committee (JBC) have taken various approaches with resident undergraduate tuition increases. These approaches have ranged from a hard cap in statute of 6% on all governing boards in FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 to varying non-statutory caps set by the JBC through footnotes for each governing board from FY 2017-18 through FY 2021-22.

In his FY 2022-23 budget request, the Governor recommended to the JBC a 0.0% resident tuition increase cap for all governing boards. The JBC is conducting initial figure-setting for higher education on March 8<sup>th</sup>, so we will have a better idea of what JBC staff will be recommending after that meeting is complete. However, it is certainly possible that final decisions on allowable rate levels will not be made by the JBC until after the March forecast, near middle of March. Staff will continue to follow this process

and bring more clearly defined guidance on the Board's resident tuition setting parameters to the April Board meeting.

Please keep in mind that the above discussion only relates to resident tuition authority. The amount of the non-resident rate increase has not been limited in statute or in policy. As a result, the Board's discretion is not limited in the setting of non-resident tuition rates.

### Common Questions

#### **What are the key factors other states use in setting tuition levels?**

If one looks at State Higher Education Executive Officers (SHEEO) data, the most influential (by a significant margin) factor used in setting public resident tuition rates across the country is the level of state General Fund appropriations. In 78% of the states that responded to the most recent survey available, this factor was identified as a significant and/or controlling influence in setting undergraduate resident tuition levels for two-year institutions—and was the top ranked overall factor in the survey. Needless to say, there is an inverse relationship between General Fund availability and tuition rate increases. The other key factors used by other states in making tuition decisions are the previous year's tuition increase level, the institutional mission of the college, tuition levels charged by peers, and the availability of financial aid.

#### **What is the outlook for the level of General Fund appropriations for CCCS in FY 2022-23?**

In FY 2021-22, CCCS was appropriated \$214.5 million in General Fund operating through the funding allocation formula, with all governing boards receiving a total of \$901.4 million. In the Governor's November FY 2022-23 budget request, he requested an on average increase of 4.6% for all governing boards, run through the higher education funding formula. That would yield \$9.2 million General Fund in additional appropriations for CCCS over the current fiscal year.

Independent of the Governor's budget request, a coalition of all the higher education governing boards came together to propose an alternate request to the Joint Budget Committee. This request would result in an additional \$44.0 million in state appropriations for CCCS based on holding resident tuition flat in FY 2022-23, but also provides lower General Fund options at tuition increase spending authority levels of 1.0%, 2.0%, and 3.0%. Based on these tuition caps, the funding formula yields between a \$26.9 million (3.0% tuition cap) and \$44.0 million (0.0% cap) increase over FY 2021-22 state appropriations levels for CCCS.

The Joint Budget Committee is conducting higher education figure-setting on March 8<sup>th</sup>. Staff will factor in those final decisions from the Committee into the materials we present at the April Board meeting.

### **What is the outlook for the level of Amendment 50 monies for CCCS in FY 2022-23?**

In FY 2020-21, CCCS revenues allocated from Amendment 50 were \$9.9 million. FY 2021-22 limited gaming revenues increased to \$11.5 million due to the reopening of casinos after the COVID shutdown. The FY 2021-22 gaming tax revenue stream, which determines the allocation for FY 2022-23 (remember that FY 2022-23 allocated revenues will actually be earned in FY 2021-22), has continued to increase as public health restrictions have been lifted.

Coupled with the impact of pandemic-related limitations, there are two additional factors that impact the revenue forecast:

- In the 2020 legislative session, (House Bill 20-1400) the General Assembly temporarily changed the allocation formula for future gaming revenues (starting July 1, 2020) where each recipient of gaming tax proceeds would grow in relation to their relative losses from FY 19 to FY 20 until total gaming tax proceeds reached FY 2019-20 levels. After that threshold is reached, the allocation formula in statute among recipients would return back to its original form.
- Amendment 77, which passed the state ballot allowing local voters in gaming towns to determine betting limits and introduce new games. All three gaming towns voted to have no betting limits (the prior limit under Amendment 50 was \$100) and new games made their way through the Limited Gaming Commission approval process. The new rules officially began May 1, 2021. All of these changes have the potential to impact the current fiscal year revenue stream.

Through November 2021 compared to at the same time last fiscal year, adjusted gross proceeds (AGP) are up 41.7% and gaming tax revenues are up 72.1% over the same time period. The pandemic impacts coupled with the changes to the allocation formula that will govern growth of revenues proportional to prior-year losses and the addition of new games/elimination of betting limits make forecasting gaming tax revenue very difficult to do with confidence at this point in time. However, depending on how the HB 20-1400 language is interpreted, there could be materially impactful additional revenue compared to the current year allocation. We will continue to monitor gaming tax revenue growth and update in April.

**What have previous years' tuition increases been for CCCS?**

Table 1 below outlines the history of base resident tuition rates at CCCS since 1995-96.

**Table 1: History of CCCS Base Resident Tuition Rates  
(FY 1995-96 through FY 2021-22)**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Resident Tuition Rate (per credit hour)</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
FY 95-96	\$52.25	2.5%
FY 96-97	\$53.50	2.4%
FY 97-98	\$54.30	1.5%
FY 98-99	\$55.00	1.3%
FY 99-00	\$56.30	2.4%
FY 00-01	\$57.75	2.6%
FY 01-02	\$60.05	4.0%
FY 02-03	\$62.90	4.7%
FY 03-04	\$66.05	5.0%
FY 04-05	\$66.80	1.1%
FY 05-06	\$72.75	8.9%
FY 06-07	\$74.55	2.5%
FY 07-08	\$77.15	3.5%
FY 08-09	\$81.00	5.0%
FY 09-10	\$88.30	9.0%
FY 10-11	\$96.25	9.0%
FY 11-12	\$105.85	10.0%
FY 12-13	\$112.75	6.5%
FY 13-14	\$119.50	6.0%
FY 14-15	\$124.90	4.5%
FY 15-16	\$130.50	4.5%
FY 16-17	\$136.90	4.9%
FY 17-18	\$144.55	5.6%
FY 18-19	\$148.90	3.0%
FY 19-20	\$148.90	0.0%
FY 20-21	\$153.35	3.0%
FY 21-22	\$153.35	0.0%
Average Annual Change	\$3.74	4.3%

Table 2 below shows the history of non-resident tuition rates (without dorms) since FY 1995-96.

**Table 2: History of CCCS Base Non-Resident Tuition Rates  
(FY 1995-96 through FY 2021-22)**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Non-resident Tuition Rate (w/o dorms) (per Credit Hour)</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
FY 95-96	\$233.80	4.4%
FY 96-97	\$243.75	4.3%
FY 97-98	\$252.25	3.5%
FY 98-99	\$260.55	3.3%
FY 99-00	\$266.80	2.4%
FY 00-01	\$277.45	4.0%
FY 01-02	\$291.30	5.0%
FY 02-03	\$313.75	7.7%
FY 03-04	\$345.15	10.0%
FY 04-05	\$345.15	0.0%
FY 05-06	\$345.15	0.0%
FY 06-07	\$345.15	0.0%
FY 07-08	\$357.25	3.5%
FY 08-09	\$375.15	5.0%
FY 09-10	\$393.90	5.0%
FY 10-11	\$413.60	5.0%
FY 11-12	\$434.30	5.0%
FY 12-13	\$462.55	6.5%
FY 13-14	\$490.30	6.0%
FY 14-15	\$512.35	4.5%
FY 15-16	\$535.40	4.5%
FY 16-17	\$561.65	4.9%
FY 17-18	\$593.10	5.6%
FY 18-19	\$610.90	3.0%
FY 19-20	\$610.90	0.0%
FY 20-21	\$629.25	3.0%
FY 21-22	\$629.25	0.0%
Average Annual Change	\$14.65	3.9%

Please note that due to the relatively high non-resident tuition rates compared to Colorado’s border states and the desire to keep residence halls full, several years ago the Board implemented a set of rates for rural colleges that wanted to participate. For FY 2021-22, this rate is \$255.65.

**How do CCCS resident tuition and fees compare to public 4-year colleges and universities in Colorado?**

Table 3 outlines the FY 2021-22 base resident tuition and fee rates (for 30 credit hours) for 4-year colleges and universities in the state compared to CCCS.

**Table 3: FY 2021-22 Resident Tuition and Fee Rates of Colorado Higher Education Institutions**

Institution	FY 2021-22 Tuition	FY 2021-22 Fees	FY 2021-22 Tuition and Fees
Colorado School of Mines	\$17,160	\$2,378	\$19,538
University of Colorado--Boulder	\$11,040	\$1,766	\$12,806
University of Colorado--Denver	\$10,200	\$1,380	\$11,580
Colorado State University--Ft. Collins	\$9,709	\$2,554	\$12,263
University of Colorado--Colorado Springs	\$9,118	\$1,642	\$10,760
Colorado Mesa University	\$8,594	\$1,050	\$9,644
Metropolitan State University of Denver	\$8,280	\$1,741	\$10,021
Colorado State University--Pueblo	\$8,174	\$2,466	\$10,640
University of Northern Colorado	\$8,127	\$2,539	\$10,666
Fort Lewis College	\$7,056	\$1,949	\$9,005
Western State Colorado University	\$6,816	\$3,847	\$10,663
Adams State University	\$5,976	\$3,704	\$9,680
Colorado Community College System*	\$4,601	\$502	\$5,103

\*Fees for CCCS are an unweighted average of all 13 colleges in system

The Governor has requested to cap resident tuition increases at 0.0%, but it is too early to gauge what the other governing boards may request for FY 2022-23.

**What is the recent history of full-time (30 credit hour) tuition and mandatory fees increases for CCCS?**

Appendix A outlines the last five years of tuition and fee history for CCCS, on a full-time 30 credit-hour basis.

## **How does CCCS compare to other states' community colleges in terms of resident tuition and fee levels?**

The short answer is: moderately above average compared to the most recent national data. In a college Board report released in 2019, Colorado's base resident community college tuition and fee levels are about 19.8% higher than the weighted national average for public two-year colleges (please note that this comparison does not include California, which historically has had a very low community college tuition rates and, given its enrollment levels, can skew the weighted national data). A recent Colorado Department of Higher Education report conducted by the Hanover Group showed that CCCS colleges on average were 7.5% above their peer institutions in net tuition and fee revenue generated.

However, please keep in mind due to tuition discounting, relative levels of state operating funding, availability of state financial aid, and the difference between in-district tuition categories (of which the College Board report is measuring) and out-of-district resident tuition categories, it can be difficult methodologically to accurately compare our colleges to community colleges in other states.

## **How much revenue does a 1% increase in tuition rate raise system-wide?**

At currently projected FY 2022-23 enrollment levels, a 1% increase in resident tuition rates would raise approximately \$2.3 million system-wide. A 1% increase in non-resident tuition rates would raise approximately \$275,000 system-wide.

## **How much of a tuition increase does it take to cover a 1% salary increase system-wide?**

Staffing is the single largest expense item in the CCCS budget. At FY 2021-22 staffing levels, a 1% increase in salary (including PERA, AED, and SAED) across the system would cost approximately \$2.9 million. As a result, for every 1% in salary increase, it would take an estimated 1.1% resident and non-resident tuition increase to cover this additional cost. In his FY 2022-23 budget, the Governor requested a 3.0% salary increase for state employees.

## **Do increases (especially large increases) in tuition rates impact enrollment?**

They can, but it is difficult to gauge the true impact since other factors play a significant role as well. One study indicated that every 10% increase in tuition above inflation will reduce enrollment by 1.9% at community colleges--which would signal reasonably high sensitivity to tuition rate increases. Also, a number of studies have concluded that low-income students are more sensitive than middle/upper class students to tuition

increases. Moreover, enrollments in community colleges tend to be more price responsive than enrollments at four-year institutions, though much of this effect is likely to be due to the disproportionate share of lower-income students enrolled in community colleges.

However, the overall economic and financial aid environment also plays a significant role. From FY 2009-10 to FY 2010-11, resident tuition was increased by 9% in each fiscal year (against what turned out to be very low inflation) and enrollment growth in our system increased dramatically. Increases to federal financial aid and access to higher education tax credits may have (especially for students in the lowest income brackets) played a larger factor than sensitivity to tuition increases during this timeframe. Also, the overall economic environment (including the necessity to get training and/or additional education in the current job marketplace) may have trumped traditional pricing concerns over tuition increases during this period of dramatic enrollment growth and been a significantly more important factor in the enrollment equation.

For the last several fiscal years before the pandemic, our resident and non-resident enrollment relative to the previous fiscal years has decreased slightly or been flat to slightly increasing as a system. As discussed during last year's tuition setting agenda item, the movement toward economic recovery coupled with flat (or, in the best likely case, very slow growing) federal financial aid award grants placed us in a more traditional tuition price sensitivity environment. However, as we move through the pandemic, we are in a more unsettled tuition rate environment where separating out tuition rate changes from the host of other pandemic-related issues that impact enrollment is more challenging. And because our colleges are more dependent on tuition revenue than ever before in the past, changes to enrollment can mean more significant swings in total revenue for our colleges.

**Are there any other potential significant considerations in the coming years that could impact tuition setting?**

The most significant consideration on the near-term horizon would be related to the various PERA changes from S.B. 18-200. That legislation included automatic triggers involving increases to employer and employee contributions depending on the solvency of the PERA fund. For FY 2021-22, the PERA employer contribution rate increased 0.05%. In addition, for FY 2022-23, the PERA employer contribution rate will increase 0.5%. This is in addition to changes made in FY 2019-20 to require employers to pay PERA on sick leave payouts as well as on any employee benefits for employees hired after July 1, 2019. Typically, higher education governing boards do not receive specific appropriations (unlike other state agencies) for changes to PERA, so the Board would

have to weigh how to manage these increases in costs—and whether tuition would need to be a component in that process.

Another consideration is family and medical leave provisions that were approved by voters. The premiums to pay for that leave begin in calendar year 2023 and will be 0.9% of the employee's wage for the first two years. That premium is split 0.45% by employer and 0.45% by employee. Employers can choose to pay a larger percentage of the cost up to 100%.

### **What is available to students to help offset tuition increases?**

The primary vehicles that help students offset tuition increases are financial aid (both state and federal) and tax credits. There are also scholarship and grant programs, as well as the favorable tax treatment that student loans receive, that will factor into the ability of a student to afford tuition. However, the response below focuses on the primary factors.

*State Financial Aid.* For community college students, state financial aid consists of need-based aid, merit aid, and work study funds. The Governor recommended an increase in need-based financial aid for FY 2022-23 that is in line with the operating funds request increase, as required per statute. Based on the allocation models for FY 2022-23 and the decrease in enrollment across the state, the CDHE goal is to maintain the FY 2022-23 need-based financial aid at the FY 2021-22 allocation levels. In FY 2021-22, no merit funds were allocated, and no merit funding will be allocated in FY 2022-23. At this point, overall work study allocations are not anticipated to change compared to FY 2021-22 levels. The FTE for Pell eligible students is slated for review. This could decrease the CCCS work study allocation but the impact is unknown at this time.

*Federal Financial Aid.* At the federal level, Pell grants (the eligibility of which is based on adjusted gross family incomes less than \$50,000, among other things) are the primary source of financial aid for community college students. In FY 2021-22, the Pell maximum amount is \$6,495—a \$150 increase over the prior fiscal year. For FY 2022-23, the Pell maximum has not been formally approved by Congress, but the current proposal being debated has an increase of up to \$400 over the FY 2021-22 level.

*Federal Tax Credits.* The American Opportunity Tax Credit is a refundable tax credit for undergraduate college education expenses. This credit provides up to \$2,500 in tax credits on the first \$4,000 in qualifying educational expenses (100% of the first \$2,000 and 25% of the next \$2,000 in expenses). To qualify for the tax credit, your adjusted gross income must be less than \$80,000 if you are single or \$160,000 if married filing jointly. The size of the credit starts to phase out at \$80,000 for singles and \$160,000 for

couples filing jointly. The credit is not available at \$90,000 for singles and \$180,000 for couples filing jointly. .

The Lifetime Learning Credit is a tax credit for any person who takes college classes. It provides a tax credit up to \$2,000 on the first \$10,000 of college tuition and fees. . Students and families are eligible for the full \$2,000 up to \$59,000 adjusted gross income for single filers and \$118,000 for married joint filers. The credit phases out up to \$69,000 adjusted gross income for single filers and \$138,000 for married joint filers. Students and families with adjusted incomes above those income thresholds are not eligible for this tax credit.

**RECOMMENDATION:** This agenda item is for information and discussion purposes and does not require any State Board action.

**ATTACHMENT:**

Appendix A: 5-Year CCCS Base Resident Tuition & Fees (30 Credit Hours)